

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,621 07/13/2001		Yukio Maruyama	089367-0114	2132	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 04/03/2007 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500			BEKERMAN, MICHAEL		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	3622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/903,621	MARUYAMA, YUKIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Bekerman	3622		

•	Michael Bekerman	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) $igotimes$ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24. See attached Nation of Nam Ca	manliant Amandonant	(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
		timely filed amondme	nt conceling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable il submitted in a separate,	umely liled amendme	mi canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		MPM	
	JEFF PRII	REY D. CARLSON MARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

While representing different alternative interpretations of the claims, Heckel and Burke both appear to be solid references. The arguments are not found to be persuasive and the Final action appears to be appropriate.

However, upon quick inspection, there appears to be some new material added to some of the claims (specifically claim 21, but other claims may have new limitations as well). Examiner can't make a distinctive comment on this new material as it has not been presented before. These limitations would require further analysis for 112 and new matter, along with further search and/or consideration.